Richard BurrNC05@mail house gov http://www.house.gov/burr



VICE CHAIRMAN
SELECT COMMITTEE ON

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE

INTELLIGENCE

FEDERAL MARTIME CONTROL Representatives

Washington, **DC** 20515-3305

P3-03

September 22, 2003

Bryant L. VanBrakle Secretary Federal Maritime Commission 800 North Capitol Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20573

Dear Commissioners:

It is my understanding that the United Parcel Service (UPS) has filed for an exemption from the prohibition on Non-Vessel Operating Common Carriers (NVOCCs) from entering into confidential contracts with their customers. Due to the operational characteristics of UPS and recent developments within the ocean-shipping marketplace, the antiquated regulatory scheme governing NVOCCs should be reviewed. I write in support of the UPS petition currently pending before the Federal Maritime Commission (FMC).

During consideration of the *Ocean Shipping Reform Act* (OSRA) revisions of 1998; Congress carefully considered all aspects of the ocean shipping industry including the role of NVOCCs. Based on-the nature of ocean shipping at the time, Congress determined that NVOCCs should be regulated differently than vessel operators. In the late 1990s, most NVOCCS were small enterprises that neither owned ocean vessels nor the cargo being shipped. In order to protect shippers and to guarantee liability coverage, Congress determined that NVOCCs should operate under a published tariff system when dealing with their customers.

However, the U. S ocean-shipping industry has changed dramatically since passage of OSRA. There has been unprecedented consolidation among ocean carriers resulting in the loss of major U.S. flagged carriers. In an effort to offer customers a full range of services, these very same carriers have created vertically integrated logistics companies that now compete with NVOCCs.

UPS operates the most sophisticated, integrated, inter-modal transportation network in the world, which includes air, rail and surface and NVOCC transportation, and is deemed a "carrier" in the surface and air-freight industries. Furthermore, UPS makes significant annual capital investments to its' asset-based transportation infrastructure. These facts alone set UPS apart from the companies that first raised concerns about the regulatory status of NVOCCs.

The UPS petition, citing the-recent evolution of the ocean-shipping marketplace, is precisely the reason Congress granted such broad exemption authority to the FMC. While anticipating

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

☐ WASHINGTON, DC OFFICE

1526 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-2071
FAX (202) 225-2995

DC INFO LINE (202) 226-0320

☐ WINSTON-SALEM OFFICE

2000 WEST FIRST STREET, SUITE 508
WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27104
(336) 631-5125
Fax (336) 725-4493
IN NC 1 (800) 685-8916

J J HAYES FEDERAL BUI

J J HAYES FEDERAL BUILDING 207 WEST MAIN STREET, ROOM 240 WILKESBORO, NC 28697 (3361667-7418 FAX (336) 667-7419 Bryant L. VanBrakle September 22, 2003 Page 2

dramatic changes in the ocean shipping industry with the passage of **OSRA**, Congress did not anticipate how fast or how smoothly the market could adapt to these changes. By granting this petition, the FMC will acknowledge these changes, level the playing field between **NVOCCs** and vessel operators, and ultimately benefit ocean-shipping consumers around the world.

I am hopeful the FMC will give the UPS petition its' utmost consideration and render an equitable decision based upon the merits of the UPS case.

Sincerely,

Richard Burr

Member of Congress

-RB·kwr